DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.56712/latam.v4i2.942

Balancing Ethics and Efficiency in Civil Engineering: Navigating Construction Challenges

Equilibrar la ética y la eficiencia en la ingeniería civil: navegar por los desafíos de la construcción

Diego Sornoza Parrales
diego.sornoza@unesum.edu.ec
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9319-9298
Facultad de Ciencias Técnicas - Universidad Estatal del Sur de Manabí (UNESUM)
Jipijapa – Ecuador

Justin Magdalena Eguez Morales
jmagdalenae@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0006-6629-5157
Instituto de Posgrado - Universidad Estatal del Sur de Manabí
Jipijapa – Ecuador

Mercedes Marcela Pincay Pilay
marcela.pincay@unesum.edu.ec
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9730-5481
Facultad de Ciencias Técnicas - Universidad Estatal del Sur de Manabí (UNESUM)
Jipijapa – Ecuador

Miguel Terán García
miguel.teran@unesum.edu.ec
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0208-4020
Facultad de Ciencias Técnicas - Universidad Estatal del Sur de Manabí (UNESUM)
Jipijapa – Ecuador

Artículo recibido: 20 de julio de 2023. Aceptado para publicación: 07 de agosto de 2023.
Conflictos de Interés: Ninguno que declarar.

Abstract

The construction industry plays a vital role in society's development and sustainability. As such, civil engineers face the ethical challenge of balancing priorities around efficiency and broader social responsibilities. This paper reviews academic literature to identify key ethical dilemmas faced by civil engineers and strategies to address them. Ethical challenges include adapting to climate change, considering societal needs, upholding professional conduct, and keeping pace with technological advancements. Adhering to professional codes of ethics helps guide civil engineers to prioritize public wellbeing over stakeholder interests. Strategies to balance ethics and efficiency include prioritizing sustainability principles, consumer behavior alignment, embracing information technology, integrating ethical education in the civil engineering curriculum, and an efficient resource management. Ultimately, civil engineers should strive to make ethical choices that positively contribute to society and the environment. The paper emphasizes that ethics leads to greater long-term efficiency and sustainability. Further research could investigate impacts of emerging technologies and benefits of prioritizing ethics in different cultural contexts.

Keywords: ethical education, professional ethics, construction

Resumen

La industria de la construcción juega un papel fundamental en el desarrollo y la sostenibilidad de la sociedad. Como tales, los ingenieros civiles enfrentan el desafío ético de equilibrar las prioridades en torno a la eficiencia y las responsabilidades sociales más amplias. Este artículo revisa la literatura académica para identificar los dilemas éticos clave que enfrentan los ingenieros civiles y las estrategias para abordarlos. Los desafíos éticos incluyen la adaptación al cambio climático, la consideración de las necesidades de la sociedad, el mantenimiento de la conducta profesional y el mantenimiento del ritmo de los avances tecnológicos. Cumplir con los códigos de ética profesional ayuda a guiar a los ingenieros civiles a priorizar el bienestar público sobre los intereses de las partes interesadas. Las estrategias para equilibrar la ética y la eficiencia incluyen priorizar los principios de sostenibilidad, la alineación del comportamiento del consumidor, adoptar la tecnología de la información, integrar la educación ética en el plan de estudios de ingeniería civil y una gestión eficiente de los recursos. En última instancia, los ingenieros civiles deben esforzarse por tomar decisiones éticas que contribuyan positivamente a la sociedad y el medio ambiente. El documento enfatiza que la ética conduce a una mayor eficiencia y sostenibilidad a largo plazo. La investigación adicional podría investigar los impactos de las tecnologías emergentes y los beneficios de priorizar la ética en diferentes contextos culturales.

Palabras clave: educación ética, ética profesional, construcción

Como citar: Sornoza Parrales, D., Equez Morales, J. M., Pincay Pilay, M. M., & Terán García, M. (2023). Balancing Ethics and Efficiency in Civil Engineering: Navigating Construction Challenges. *LATAM Revista Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales y Humanidades 4(2), 4853–4863. https://doi.org/10.56712/latam.v4i2.942*

INTRODUCTION

The construction industry plays a crucial role in addressing sustainability challenges, particularly in light of the United Nations' establishment of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (Montalbán-Domingo et al., 2022). Civil engineering plays a vital role in the planning, design, and construction of infrastructure projects that are crucial for society's development and well-being. It encompasses a wide range of disciplines, including transportation engineering, structural engineering, environmental engineering and geotechnical engineering. Civil engineers are responsible for creating and maintaining essential infrastructure such as buildings, bridges, roads, water supply systems, and wastewater treatment facilities.

Professionals in civil engineering balance ethical considerations and efficiency by incorporating ethical codes of professional conduct into their practices (Pan et al., 2022). Ethical codes of conduct for civil and structural engineers are essential in ensuring that their actions are guided by ethical principles and not influenced by the interests of stakeholders. These codes provide a set of rules and standards that engineers must adhere to in their professional practice (Quapp & Holschemacher, 2016). They outline the professional obligations and responsibilities of civil engineers, ensuring that they prioritize the well-being of society and the environment (Chance et al., 2021).

The importance of ethical codes of conduct becomes particularly evident in situations where engineers encounter ethical issues or conflicting guidance. Rapid technological advancements can create new ethical concerns that may not be explicitly addressed in existing codes of ethics (Hess et al., 2019). In such cases, engineers must rely on their public service motivations (Sornoza et al., 2020), ethical reasoning and judgment to navigate these gray areas and make ethically sound decisions. The incorporation of ethical codes of conduct helps engineers strike a balance between technical feasibility and moral acceptability (Pan et al., 2022).

Ethical codes of conduct for civil engineers have been developed and implemented by professional associations and organizations worldwide (Quapp & Holschemacher, 2016). These codes serve as a behavioral norm that defines the professional obligations and responsibilities of civil engineers. They provide a standardized framework for professional conduct and ensure that engineers uphold the highest ethical standards in their work, and they recognize the importance of considering environmental sustainability and minimizing resource use in civil engineering projects (Osofero et al., 2014). Adhering to these codes is crucial for maintaining the trust and confidence of the public in the engineering profession (Gwynne-Evans, 2021).

As the field of civil engineering evolves, professionals need to expand their knowledge beyond technical instructions and incorporate policy-making, ethical issues, and societal values into their practices(Chong et al., 2022). Civil engineers must stay updated on the latest advancements in the field and understand the ethical implications of their work. This includes considering the global responsibility of their decisions and actions and making ethical choices that contribute to the betterment of society and the environment (Chance et al., 2021).

As the demands for faster and more cost-effective construction increase, professionals in this field face the challenge of balancing ethical considerations and efficiency. This paper delves into the fundamental question of how to strike a balance between ethics and efficiency in civil engineering projects.

The objectives of this paper are to: Identify the ethical challenges that civil engineers face, discuss the importance of balancing ethics and efficiency in civil engineering, and propose some strategies for how civil engineers can strike this balance.

METHODOLOGY

This study employed a literature review approach to explore the topic of balancing ethics and efficiency in civil engineering and navigating construction challenges. The methodology involved the selection and analysis of relevant academic papers based on predetermined eligibility criteria. The following sections outline the criteria for paper selection and the process of reducing the number of papers.

To ensure the inclusion of high-quality and peer-reviewed research, the following eligibility criteria were established:

Indexed on Peer-Reviewed Journals or Conference Proceedings: Papers had to be published in peer-reviewed journals or conference proceedings to ensure rigorous evaluation and quality control.

Found on Google Scholar: The search was conducted on Google Scholar, a widely recognized and comprehensive academic database, to obtain a comprehensive set of relevant papers.

A systematic search strategy was implemented to identify relevant papers. Initially, a comprehensive set of keywords was developed, including terms such as "ethics," "efficiency," "civil engineering," "construction challenges," and related variations. These keywords were combined using Boolean operators (e.g., AND, OR) to create search queries.

The selected papers were subjected to a qualitative analysis. Key themes, concepts, and findings related to the balancing of ethics and efficiency in civil engineering were identified and synthesized. The analysis aimed to extract insights, trends, and perspectives from the literature and form the basis for the discussion and conclusions of the paper.

It is important to acknowledge some limitations of this methodology. Firstly, the search was limited to open-access papers, which may have excluded relevant studies behind paywalls. Secondly, while Google Scholar is a comprehensive database, some relevant papers may have been missed. Additionally, the inclusion of only peer-reviewed journals and conference proceedings may have excluded valuable insights from other sources such as industry reports.

Despite these limitations, the methodology employed in this study ensured a systematic and rigorous approach to selecting and analyzing relevant literature on the topic of balancing ethics and efficiency in civil engineering. The process of reducing the number of papers based on the eligibility criteria helped focus on the most relevant and reliable sources, providing a strong foundation for the subsequent analysis and discussion.

RESULTS

Ethical challenges faced by civil engineers

Ethical challenges faced by civil engineers are multi-faceted and complex due to the nature of their work and the evolving societal and environmental contexts. The references provided shed light on various ethical challenges that civil engineers encounter in their profession. These challenges include changing climate adaptation and sustainability awareness, societal concerns, policy-making and societal values, professional conduct and ethical behavior, and technological advancements.

One of the main ethical challenges faced by civil engineers is climate change adaptation. Climate change has become a major concern for society, and civil engineers have an ethical obligation to protect the safety, health and welfare of the public in a changing climate (Roth, 2021). They must adapt their practices to meet their ethical obligations incorporating sustainability awareness into

their work and considering the environmental and social dimensions of sustainability and ethics (Mares-Nasarre, 2023). Civil engineers have an ethical responsibility to protect the environment and make life better for people (Chance et al., 2021).

Societal concerns also pose challenges for civil engineers. The increasing population, rapid urbanization, and industrialization have put pressure on civil engineers to provide infrastructure that meets the needs of society (Sajith & Robin Davis, 2020). In addition, civil engineers must consider social justice and equity when designing and implementing projects in smart cities (CosgraveEllie, 2017). They must address the complex and subtle societal challenges, such as climate change and environmental degradation, that affect the lives of urban populations (Nukulchai, 2017). This requires a holistic approach and a paradigm shift in the field of civil engineering.

Policy-making and societal values are becoming increasingly important in the civil engineering field. Civil engineers must understand and navigate the ethical implications of their work (Polmear, 2022a). They need to consider the interests of society or the public, who are often the end-users of the infrastructure they design and build (Chong et al., 2022). Ethical decision-making involves balancing the needs of stakeholders and ensuring that decisions align with societal values (Pan et al., 2022). Civil engineers should strive to work ethically and ensure that their decisions and actions are not influenced by the interests of stakeholders.

Professional conduct and ethics play a crucial role in civil engineering. Civil engineers must adhere to codes of professional conduct that outline their obligations and ethical responsibilities (Pan et al., 2022). These codes guide how to balance cost pressures with ethical considerations. Civil engineers are responsible for the safety and welfare of the public, and they must prioritize the public interest in their work (Pan et al., 2022). They need ethically impeccable codes of professional conduct that are not influenced by the interests of stakeholders. Upholding professional ethics is essential for maintaining public faith in the field and its professionals (Binani, 2022).

Technological advancements also pose ethical challenges for civil engineers. The rapid advancement of technology requires civil engineers to stay updated and informed to ensure they make ethical choices that contribute to the betterment of society and the environment (Reinhardt, 2022). They need to embrace complexity and reflect on the appropriateness of the engineering paradigm to address the societal challenges they face (Cosgrave, 2017). The ethical and social impacts of emerging technologies, such as Construction 4.0, must be carefully considered by civil engineers (Du Plessis & Sherratt, 2020; Forcael et al., 2020).

Professional ethics education and continuous professional development are essential for addressing these ethical challenges (Binani, 2022). Ethics education helps civil engineers develop ethical reasoning and social awareness. It equips them with the knowledge and understanding of professional and ethical responsibilities. Ongoing professional development allows civil engineers to maintain a high level of competence and ensure the quality of their services. Professional associations play a crucial role in promoting ethical conduct and enforcing professional obligations and standards within the civil engineering profession.

The Importance of Ethics for civil engineers

Ethics plays a crucial role in the field of civil engineering, shaping the conduct and decision-making of professionals in the industry. The references provided highlight the significance of ethics in various aspects of civil engineering. The importance of ethics for civil engineers is underscored by the need to prioritize the well-being and safety of the public (Roth, 2021; Waisapi, 2022). Civil engineers have a professional and ethical responsibility to ensure that their work

upholds the highest standards of quality, safety, and sustainability (Chance et al., 2021; Quapp & Holschemacher, 2016). Their decisions and actions should consider the impact on society, the environment, and future generations (Kodeboyina, 2023a; Tiza, 2023).

Ethics help civil engineers navigate complex decision-making processes, balancing cost-effectiveness with social and environmental considerations (Chong et al., 2022). Ethics is closely tied to professional conduct, emphasizing the responsibilities and obligations of civil engineers in their interactions with clients, colleagues, and the public (Quapp & Holschemacher, 2016).

Professional codes of ethics set guidelines for ethical behavior, ensuring that civil engineers maintain integrity, honesty, and transparency in their professional practice (Gridneva & Shvaikina, 2020; Hansen, 2023). These codes help guard against conflicts of interest, bias, and corruption, protecting the public's interests (Davis & Porter, 2016; Polmear, 2022b).

Ethics education has a significant role in shaping the ethical competence of civil engineering students and professionals (Berdanier et al., 2018; Heimdal et al., 2021; Nguyen et al., 2022). By introducing ethical principles and case studies into the curriculum, students develop a strong ethical foundation and acquire the skills necessary to navigate ethical dilemmas (Leong & Elleithy, 2016). Promoting sustainability awareness and social commitment in civil engineering education instills ethical values and prepares students to address the environmental and social dimensions of the profession (Mares-Nasarre, 2023; Roca et al., 2022).

Overall, ethics is of paramount importance in civil engineering. It guides the behavior and decision-making of professionals in the field, ensuring that they prioritize public safety, environmental sustainability, and social responsibility. Ethics education equips civil engineers with the knowledge and skills to navigate ethical challenges and make informed decisions. By upholding ethical principles, civil engineers contribute to the betterment of society, build public trust, and advance the profession as a whole.

Strategies for balancing ethics and efficiency

Strategies for balancing ethics and efficiency in civil engineering involve integrating sustainability principles, adopting consumer behavior strategies, embracing information technology, promoting ethical education, and implementing efficient resource management practices. These strategies aim to achieve a harmonious balance between ethical considerations and efficient project execution.

One key strategy is to prioritize sustainability and environmental consciousness in civil engineering practices. This involves focusing on the use of green and high-performance materials, as well as incorporating research and development results into practical projects. By embracing sustainable development principles, civil engineers can reduce the environmental impact of their projects without compromising technical and financial concerns (Bramald et al., 2015; Kodeboyina, 2023b; Osofero et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2020). This strategy ensures that engineering processes contribute to the long-term well-being of the environment and society.

Another important strategy is to consider consumer behavior and promote conscious consumption in the civil engineering market. This involves developing strategies that support eco-efficiency, environmental friendliness, energy-saving, and intellectual content in construction projects (Utomo et al., 2018). By aligning consumer behavior with sustainable practices, civil engineers can contribute to the overall goal of sustainable development.

Embracing information technology is another strategy for balancing ethics and efficiency in civil engineering. Increasingly, digital technologies are being used to improve project management and enhance decision-making processes (Alvarez Vásquez et al., 2018; Murguia et al., 2022). By

leveraging information construction and promoting the organic combination of information technology and civil engineering management, professionals can improve the efficiency of project execution (Chunyao, 2020).

Ethics education plays a fundamental role in balancing ethics and efficiency in civil engineering. By integrating ethics into the curriculum and continuing professional development programs, civil engineers can develop a strong ethical foundation and acquire the skills necessary to make informed decisions (Waisapi, 2022). Ethical education also facilitates the establishment of an ethical culture within the profession. This strategy ensures that professionals are equipped with the knowledge and ethical competence needed to address ethical dilemmas and align their actions with the broader interests of society.

Efficient resource management is another crucial strategy for balancing ethics and efficiency. This involves adopting practices that prioritize the optimal use of resources, minimize waste generation, and reduce energy consumption (Tiza, 2023). By implementing energy-efficient technologies, sustainable design principles, and waste reduction strategies, civil engineers can enhance efficiency while minimizing environmental impact. This strategy ensures that projects are executed with a focus on resource stewardship and long-term sustainability.

By adopting these strategies, civil engineers can ensure that their projects are executed with integrity, prioritize the well-being of society and the environment, and contribute to sustainable development. These strategies aim to strike a balance between ethical considerations and efficient project execution, ultimately fostering a positive impact on both the profession and society as a whole.

CONCLUSIONS

This study aimed to explore the delicate balance between ethics and efficiency in civil engineering and discuss how professionals can navigate construction challenges within this context. By examining the various ethical dilemmas that arise in the field, as well as the potential conflicts between ethical considerations and project efficiency, we have gained insights into the complexities of decision-making in civil engineering.

Civil engineering projects often involve critical decisions that can have significant implications for various stakeholders, including the environment, local communities, and future generations. Balancing these competing interests can give rise to ethical dilemmas. For instance, the choice between cost-saving measures and ensuring the safety and quality of construction presents a recurring challenge. Similarly, the need to meet project deadlines can clash with proper planning and design processes, potentially compromising the long-term durability and sustainability of infrastructure.

Efficiency is a key concern in civil engineering projects, as time and cost considerations play a vital role in project success. However, the pursuit of efficiency can sometimes clash with ethical considerations. For example, fast-tracking construction processes may lead to inadequate safety measures or insufficient environmental impact assessments. Similarly, cost-cutting measures might compromise the use of sustainable materials or neglect the welfare and working conditions of laborers.

Ethics and efficiency are not mutually exclusive. In fact, the reviewed literature suggests that ethics can actually lead to greater efficiency. For example, if civil engineers are committed to safety, they are more likely to design and construct projects that are safe and reliable. This can save money in the long run, as it can reduce the need for repairs and maintenance.

Further research is needed to deepen our understanding of the specific ethical challenges faced in different civil engineering projects and cultural contexts. This could include investigating the impact of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and automation, on the ethical dimensions of construction. Additionally, longitudinal studies tracking the outcomes of projects that prioritize ethical considerations could provide valuable insights into the long-term benefits of ethical decision-making in civil engineering.

REFERENCES

Alvarez Vásquez, C. A., Rivera Vera, H. F., Conforme Cedeño, G. M., Campoverde Flores, F. K., Sornoza Parrales, D. R., & Merchán Nieto, L. (2018). Los procesos, las técnicas de negociación y la tecnología. In Los procesos, las técnicas de negociación y la tecnología. https://doi.org/10.17993/ecoorgycso.2018.41

Berdanier, C. G. P., Tang, X., & Cox, M. F. (2018). Ethics and Sustainability in Global Contexts: Studying Engineering Student Perspectives Through Photoelicitation. Journal of Engineering Education, 107(2). https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20198

Binani, S. (2022). Ethical Preparedness, Self-Efficacy and Challenges in Engineering Education – A Quantitative Study. Journal of Engineering Education Transformations. https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2022/v35is1/22049

Bramald, T., Heidrich, O., & Hall, J. A. (2015). Teaching Sustainability to First Year Civil Engineering Students. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Engineering Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.1680/ensu.14.00007

Chance, S., Lawlor, R., Direito, I., & Mitchell, J. (2021). Above and beyond: ethics and responsibility in civil engineering. Australasian Journal of Engineering Education, 26(1), 93–116.

Chong, R. N. R., Belayutham, S., Ibrahim, C. K. I. C., & Adhikari, S. (2022). A Theoretical Exploration on the Standing of Liberal Arts in the Civil Engineering Curriculum. Environment-Behaviour Proceedings Journal, 7(19), 77–83.

Chunyao, D. (2020). Civil Engineering Management Level Improvement Strategy Based on Information Construction. Iop Conference Series Materials Science and Engineering. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/780/3/032011

Cosgrave, E. (2017). The Smart City: Challenges for the Civil Engineering Sector. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Smart Infrastructure and Construction. https://doi.org/10.1680/jsmic.17.00012

CosgraveEllie. (2017). The Smart City: Challenges for the Civil Engineering Sector. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Smart Infrastructure and Construction. https://doi.org/10.1680/jsmic.17.00012

Davis, M., & Porter, K. (2016). The Public's Role in Seismic Design Provisions. Earthquake Spectra. https://doi.org/10.1193/081715eqs127m

Du Plessis, C., & Sherratt, F. (2020). Construction 4.0 and built assets in-use: Creating an e-topia or dystopia? Proceedings of Institution of Civil Engineers: Management, Procurement and Law, 173(4). https://doi.org/10.1680/jmapl.19.00054

Forcael, E., Ferrari, I., Opazo-Vega, A., & Pulido-Arcas, J. A. (2020). Construction 4.0: A literature review. In Sustainability (Switzerland) (Vol. 12, Issue 22). https://doi.org/10.3390/su12229755

Gridneva, N. A., & Shvaikina, N. S. (2020). Engineer's Ethics Formation by Teaching Foreign Language: Sociocultural Aspect. Vestnik of Samara State Technical University Psychological and Pedagogical Sciences. https://doi.org/10.17673/vsgtu-pps.2020.1.4

Gwynne-Evans, A. (2021). Student reflection on engineering responsibility exemplified in a professional code of conduct. 9th Research in Engineering Education Symposium and 32nd Australasian Association for Engineering Education Conference, REES AAEE 2021: Engineering Education Research Capability Development, 2. https://doi.org/10.52202/066488-0088

Hansen, S. (2023). Etika Penelitian: Kajian Rektraksi Artikel Ilmiah Teknik Sipil. Jurnal Teknik Sipil. https://doi.org/10.5614/jts.2023.30.1.15

Heimdal, A., Svennevig, P. R., & Norheim, T. (2021). Students Preparedness Towards Writing a Bachelor Thesis; A Survey-Based Investigation. https://doi.org/10.35199/epde.2021.76

Hess, J. L., Beever, J., Zoltowski, C. B., Kisselburgh, L., & Brightman, A. O. (2019). Enhancing engineering students' ethical reasoning: Situating reflexive principlism within the SIRA framework. Journal of Engineering Education, 108(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20249

Kodeboyina, G. B. (2023a). Sustainable strategies for monument protection-A panoramic view of the possibilities and pitfalls. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 1149(1), 012003.

Kodeboyina, G. B. (2023b). Ultra-High Performance Concrete as a Sustainable Structural Composite. Iop Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1149/1/012002

Leong, L. T., & Elleithy, W. (2016). Impact of Past Project on the Educational Experience of Civil Engineering Students. Matec Web of Conferences. https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/20164704010

Mares-Nasarre, P. (2023). Analyzing Sustainability Awareness and Professional Ethics of Civil Engineering Bachelor's Degree Students. Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15076263

Montalbán-Domingo, L., García-Segura, T., Sanz-Benlloch, A., & Pellicer, E. (2022). Factors and Indicators to Assess Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) in Public Works Procurement. Construction Research Congress 2022: Infrastructure Sustainability and Resilience - Selected Papers from Construction Research Congress 2022, 1-A. https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784483954.034

Murguia, D., Chen, Q., Van Vuuren, T. J., Rathnayake, A., Vilde, V., & Middleton, C. (2022). Digital Measurement of Construction Performance: Data-to-dashboard Strategy. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 1101(9). https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1101/9/092009

Nguyen, L. M., Poleacovschi, C., Faust, K. M., Padgett-Walsh, K., Feinstein, S. G., Vaziri, B., LaPatin, M., & Rutherford, C. J. (2022). COVID-19 pandemic reveals challenges in engineering ethics education. International Journal of Ethics Education. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40889-022-00156-4

Nukulchai, W. K. (2017). A New Landscape of Civil Engineering Profession. Moj Civil Engineering. https://doi.org/10.15406/mojce.2017.02.00048

Osofero, A. I., Udeaja, C., Corradi, M., & Vo, T. (2014). Sustainability challenges in civil engineering education. Computing in Civil and Building Engineering - Proceedings of the 2014 International Conference on Computing in Civil and Building Engineering. https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784413616.254

Pan, P., Li, Y., Hao, S., Cao, H., & Liu, W. (2022). Research on the Current Situation and Trend Development of Engineering Ethics Codes for Civil Engineering. Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Diversified Education and Social Development (DESD 2022), 276–286. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-37-4_35

Polmear, M. (2022a). Macroethical Development in Civil Engineering Education. https://doi.org/10.5821/conference-9788412322262.1234

Polmear, M. (2022b). MACROETHICAL DEVELOPMENT IN CIVIL AND ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEERING EDUCATION. SEFI 2022 - 50th Annual Conference of the European Society for Engineering Education, Proceedings. https://doi.org/10.5821/conference-9788412322262.1234

Quapp, U., & Holschemacher, K. (2016). Professional ethics in the daily working practice of civil and structural engineers. In R. Komurlu, A. P. Gurgun, A. Singh, & S. Yazdani (Eds.), Proceedings of International Structural Engineering and Construction. https://doi.org/10.14455/ISEC.res.2016.38

Reinhardt, K. (2022). Trust and trustworthiness in AI ethics. AI and Ethics. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-022-00200-5

Roca, E., Real, E., & Ferrer, I. (2022). Integrating Sustainability and Social Commitment (S&sc) Competences in the Curriculum at the Barcelona School of Civil Engineering. https://doi.org/10.5821/conference-9788412322262.1162

Roth, L. H. (2021). The Climate Is Changing, Deal With It. In GeoStrata Magazine Archive (Vol. 25, Issue 5, pp. 12–15). American Society of Civil Engineers.

Sajith, S. A., & Robin Davis, R. D. (2020). Preface. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 936(1), 011001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/936/1/011001

Sornoza, D., Sornoza, G., Parrales, M., & Guaranda, V. (2020). Motivación hacia el servicio público, un análisis de la literatura de China y Ecuador. Revista Espacios, 41(30).

Tiza, M. T. (2023). Integrating Sustainability Into Civil Engineering and the Construction Industry. Journal of Cement Based Composites. https://doi.org/10.36937/cebacom.2023.5756

Utomo, M. N., Wahyudi, S., Muharam, H., & Taolin, M. L. (2018). Strategy to improve firm performance through operational efficiency commitment to environmental friendliness: Evidence from indonesia. Organizations and Markets in Emerging Economies, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.15388/omee.2018.10.00004

Waisapi, N. J. Y. (2022). Profesionalisme Keinsinyuran. Formosa Journal of Social Sciences (Fjss). https://doi.org/10.55927/fjss.v1i3.1285

Zhou, S., Zhou, M., Wang, Y., Gao, Y., Liu, Y., Shi, C., Lu, Y., & Zhou, T. (2020). Bibliometric and social network analysis of civil engineering sustainability research from 2015 to 2019. Sustainability (Switzerland), 12(17). https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12176842